**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by NIH Grant CA17918.

**Registry No. la,** 88358-41-2; **lb,** 88358-42-3; **IC,** 87598-28-5; **Id,** 88358-43-4; **le,** 88358-44-5; **lf,** 88358-45-6; **lg,** 88358-46-7; 2, 84850-27-1; **3** ( $R' = H$ ;  $R = CH = CH_2$ ), 88358-60-5; **3a**, 88358-52-5; **3b,** 88358-53-6; **3c,** 88358-54-7; **3d,** 88358-55-8; **3e,** 88358-56-9; **3f,**  77242-15-0; **3** (R' = H; R = H), 88358-59-2; **3** (R' = H; R = t-Bu), 88358-57-0; **3g**, 88358-58-1; 4, 88358-61-6; **5**  $(\mathbb{R}^{\prime\prime} = \mathbb{H})$ , 88358-62-7; **6**  $(\mathbb{R}^{\prime\prime} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}_{3})$ , 5682-78-0; PhCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CHO, 104-53-0; PhC(O)-PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>Cl, 532-27-4; PhCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(SPh)=0, 53573-33-4; cy- $CH<sub>2</sub>OAc$ , 2243-35-8;  $CH<sub>3</sub>CH(OBr)\tilde{CH}(Ac)CHO$ , 88358-47-8; clohexenone, 25512-62-3; cyclododecanone, 830-13-7; 2-acetoxycyclohexanone, 17472-04-7; cyclohexane-l,3-dione, 504-02-9; 2 methylnonane-3,5-dione, 88358-48-9; cyclododecanethiol, 7447- 11-2; **2-(acetyloxy)cyclohexanethiol,** 73921-29-6; 3-phenylpropanethiol, 24734-68-7; **2-(acetyloxy)-2-phenylethanethiol,**  88358-49-0; **2-(acetyloxy)-3-(benzyloxy)butanethiol,** 88358-50-3; **3-mercaptocyclohexanone,** 33449-52-4; 5-mercapto-1-methylnonan-3-one, 88358-51-4; deoxybenzoin, 451-40-1; fluorenone, 486-25-9.

## **E. Vedejs,\* D. A. Perry**

S. M. McEluain Laboratory *of* Organic Chemistry Department *of* Chemistry, University *of* Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Received October 17, 1983

## **Stable Vinyl Cations. 2.' Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopic Observation of** a **Substituted Cyclopropylidenemethyl Cation**

Summary: 13C **NMR** Spectroscopic data show the effective stabilization of the **l-cyclopropylidene-3-methyl-2-butenyl**  cation in solution.

Sir: The stabilizing ability of a cyclopropyl ring is well**known** in trisubstituted **as** well **as** in disubstituted carbenium ions.2 However, for vinyl cations there is a unique opportunity for stabilization by a cyclopropyl group, when one carbon of the cyclopropane ring is part of the vinyl cation, as in the cyclopropylidenemethyl cation 1.<sup>3</sup>

$$
\sum_{\substack{\beta \alpha \\ 1}} \frac{1}{\alpha} R \longrightarrow \sum_{\substack{\alpha \\ 1}} \frac{1}{\beta} = -R
$$

Cations 1 were first postulated as intermediates in the homopropargyl rearrangement.<sup>4</sup> The rapid solvolysis of cyclopropylidenemethyl bromide has been attributed to the high stability of the intermediate vinyl cation.<sup>5</sup> This conclusion is supported by ab initio and MIND0/3 calculations6 and by experimental evidence for **l** in the gas phase.<sup>7</sup>



**Figure** 1. 100.62-MHz 13C NMR spectrum of cation 3 in  $SO_2ClF/SO_2F_2$  (2:1) at -100 °C.

Vinyl cations have been rather elusive toward direct 13C NMR spectroscopic observation;8 however, we have shown recently that  $\alpha$ -vinyl-substituted vinyl cations can be generated from tertiary  $\alpha$ -allenyl alcohols as stable species in solution.' We report here the first successful generation and NMR spectroscopic observation of the l-cyclo**propylidene-3-methyl-2-butenyl** cation **3.9** 

A clean yellow solution of 3 in  $SO_2CIF/SO_2F_2$  was obtained by reaction of **21°** with SbF5 by using the method already described.<sup>11</sup> The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (Figure 1) was recorded at -100 °C. Assignments were made by using proton-coupled spectra. Single-frequency proton-decoupled spectra were used to confirm these assignments.<sup>12</sup>  $C_3$ shows long-range couplings to six methyl protons and thus could be distinguished from C<sub>1</sub>.

Cation 3 can be considered either as a  $\alpha$ -vinyl- $\beta$ -cyclopropyl-stabilized vinyl cation **(3')** or as a cyclopropylidene-substituted allyl cation **(3").** The downfield



shifts of  $C_1$  (202.66 ppm) and  $C_3$  (228.92 ppm)<sup>9</sup> indicate extensive charge delocalization between these **two** positions. Comparison of  $3$  with the analogous  $C_1$ -isopropylidene-substituted cation **5l** (Table I) reveals sig-



nificant differences. The corresponding allyl carbons in **5,**  $C_3$  **(257.64 ppm) and especially**  $C_1$  **(245.39 ppm), are** much more deshielded than those in 3. The C<sub>3</sub> carbons in **3** and **5** have almost identical chemical shift values in the precursor alcohols **2** and **4.** The problem of neighboring group effects is minimized for  $C_3$  since the substituent change is occuring at  $C_1$ , which is effectively screened from  $C_3$ .<sup>13</sup> We attribute the 29-ppm shielding of  $C_3$  in 3 to the superior electron-donating capability of the  $\beta$ -cyclopropyl ring compared to the effect of two  $\beta$ methyl groups in vinyl cation **5.** Calculations (STO-3G) have shown that a  $\beta$ -cyclopropyl ring stabilizes a primary

**<sup>(1)</sup>** Stable Viyl Cations. **1:** Siehl, H.-U.; Mayr, H. *J. Am. Chem.* SOC. **1982, 104, 909.** 

**<sup>(2)</sup>** (a) le Noble, W. J. \*Highlights of Organic Chemistry"; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1974; Chapter 20. (b) Olah, G. A., Schleyer, P. v. R., Eds. "Carbonium Ions"; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1972; Vol. 3, Chapters **25** and **26.** 

**<sup>(3)</sup>** Stang, P. J.; Rappoport, Z.; Hanack, M.; Subramanian, L. R. "Viyl

Cations"; Academic Press: New York, 1979.<br>(4) (a) Hanack, M.; Häffner, J.; Herterich, H. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1965,<br>875. (b) Hanack, M.; Bocher, S.; Herterich, J.; Hummel, K.; Vott, K.

*Juatua Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1970, 733, 5. (5)** (a) Biissler, **T.;** Hanack, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1971, 2171.** (b)

Hammen, G.; Bässler, T.; Hanack, M. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 1676.<br>
(6) Apeloig, Y.; Collins, J. B.; Cremer, D.; Bally, T.; Haselbach, E.;<br>
Pople, J. A.; Chandrasekkar, J.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, **3496.** 

**<sup>(7)</sup>** Franke, W.; Schwarz, H.;Stahl, D. J. *Org. Chem.* **1980,** *45,* **3493.** 

**<sup>(8)</sup>** See ref **3,** Chapter **8.** 

**<sup>(9)</sup>** For clarity we use here a different carbon numbering sceme from that given in ref **1.** 

**<sup>(10)</sup>** Details of the synthesis of **2** will be reported in a full paper. **(11)** Saunders, M.; Cox, D.; Lloyd, J. R. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1979,101, 6656.** 

**<sup>(12)</sup>** 'H NMR spectra will be discussed in a full paper.

**<sup>(13)</sup>** For a detailed study of allyl cations, see: Olah, G. A.; Spear, R.

**J.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1975, 97, 1539.** 

Table I. <sup>13</sup>C NMR Chemical Shifts of Vinyl Cations 3 and 5 and Their Precursors 2 and 4<sup>a</sup>

compd		ັ	ີ	ັ		ັ	ັ ັ
	186.35	103.53	70.10	29.98		80.66	7.81
	202.66	111.72	228.92	27.18	30.62	63.66	39.63
4 <sup>b</sup>	197.92	99.01	69.01	29.51		97.86	20.10
$5^{o}$	245.39	113.97	257.64	32.43	36.44	101.55	16.29

<sup>*a*</sup> Compounds 2 and 4 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (77.0 ppm); 3 and 5 referenced to capillary CD<sub>3</sub>COCl ( $\delta$ <sub>CD<sub>3</sub></sub> = 32.90 ppm); specific assignment of C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub> in 3 and 5 tentatively analogous to allyl cations. <sup>b</sup> Reference 1.

vinyl cation by 14 kcal/mol more than two  $\beta$ -methyl groups6 do.

In  $3$  the two methyl groups at  $C_3$  are nonequivalent as in other allyl cations.<sup>13</sup> The observed smaller downfield shifts, compared to the precursor, reflect less need for hyperconjugative stabilization from these methyl groups due to less positive charge at  $C_3$  in  $3$  as compared to  $5$ . Electron donation from the cyclopropyl ring at  $C_1$  decreases electron density at **C3,** thus leading to less deshielding for this carbon than that in 5.  $\tilde{C}_1$  is 31 ppm upfield from that in **5** even if a 12-ppm correction for the different shift in the precursors is taken into account.

Charge delocalization away from  $C_1$  and  $C_3$  into the  $\beta$ positions  $C_7$  and  $C_8$  of the cyclopropyl ring is indicated by the **shift** of the signals for these carbons (39.63 ppm), which is 32 ppm downfield from the precursor. This downfield shift for the  $\beta$ -cyclopropyl carbons is of similar magnitude to that in  $\alpha$ -cyclopropyl-stabilized allyl cations,<sup>13</sup> whereas the  $\alpha$ -cyclopropyl carbon  $C_6$  in 3 cannot be compared because it is unique to this type of vinyl cation.

At first glance, the upfield shift for the unsaturated cyclopropyl carbon  $C_6$  from 80.66 ppm in 2 to 63.66 ppm in  $3$  is surprising. In  $\alpha$ -cyclopropyl-substituted trigonal cations13 and also in **a-cyclopropyl-substituted** vinyl cations,<sup>14</sup> both C<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> and C<sub> $\beta$ </sub> ring carbons exhibit considerable downfield shift. The unusual shift for  $C_6$  in 3 may be related to the unusual shift in 2, where  $C_6$  is both terminal allenic and part of a cyclopropyl ring.

The shift of  $C_6$  may also be rationalized by taking into account the unique structure of cyclopropylidenemethyl cations **1,** which can be looked upon as the unsaturated analogues of cyclopropylcarbinyl cations. In valence bond terminology there is a difference between 1 and  $\alpha$ -cyclopropylcarhinyl cations in that the resonance structures of **1** include homopropargylic cation resonance forms (which of course would be given very unequal weights) whereas cyclopropylcarbinyl resonance structures would be homoallylic. In **3** this would partially change the bond between  $C_6$  and  $C_1$  to a triple bond, giving  $C_6$  some sp character. Calculations on 1 show the  $C_{\alpha}-\tilde{C}_{\beta}$  distance became significantly shorter than that of a double bond.6 In **3** the mutual shielding of the two sp carbons  $C_6$  and  $C_1$  might give rise to the substantial upfield shifts observed for these carbons.

Alternatively, the upfield shift for  $\mathrm{C}_6$  in  $\mathrm{3}$  could be explained by polarization effects. The  $\beta$  carbons of vinyl cations are negatively charged,<sup>15</sup> but preliminary calculations for model cations of type **1** and **3** do not show significant differences from **5.16** 

The **13C** NMR spectroscopic data of **3** presented here show the first direct experimental proof obtained for a stable vinyl cation in solution utilizing the unique and unusually effective stabilization of such a cation by a  $\beta$ cyclopropyl ring. These data are in agreement with theory and give additional support to the interpretation of the  $\frac{1}{2}$  solvolytic studies of these systems.

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. **Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by the

Registry **No. 3,** 88295-38-9.

## Hans-Ullrich Siehl,\* Ernst-Wilhelm Koch

*Institut fur Organische Chemie der Universitat Tubingen 0-7400 Tubingen 1, West Germany Received October* **24,** *1983* 

## **A** Convergent Asymmetric Synthesis **of**  (-)-Malyngolide and Its Three Stereoisomers

*Summary:* (-)-Malyngolide, an antibiotic of algal origin, and its stereoisomers  $(+)$ -malyngolide and  $(+)$ - and  $(-)$ epimalyngolide have been synthesized asymmetrically in high diastereomeric and enantiomeric purity.

*Sir:* Since the antibiotic (-)-malyngolide **(1)** was isolated from marine algae and its structure, including relative and absolute configuration, established in 1979,<sup>1</sup> a number of syntheses $2-5$  have been reported. The majority of these lack stereoselectivity, the product being a mixture of  $(\pm)$ -malyngolide and its diastereomer,  $(\pm)$ -epimalyngolide  $(2)$ , which can be separated by chromatography.<sup>3</sup> One



synthesis4 produces racemic malyngolide stereoselectively and two others produce a mixture of  $(-)$ -malyngolide and  $(+)$ -epimalyngolide, either by total asymmetric synthesis<sup>5a</sup> or by derivation from a chiral starting material, D-glucose. ${}^{5b}$ We report here a convergent asymmetric synthesis in which either chiral center is produced in one or the other of the two possible configurations. In this way, not only  $(-)$ -malyngolide and  $(+)$ -epimalyngolide but also their enantiomers were produced in high diastereomeric and enantiomeric purity.

**0022-3263/84/1949-0576\$01,50/0** *0* 1984 American Chemical Society

**<sup>(14)</sup>** Siehl, **H.-U.,** unpublished results.

**<sup>(15)</sup>** Apeloig, Y.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Pople, J. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977, 42, 3004.** 

**<sup>(16)</sup> Apeloig,** Y., personal communication.

<sup>(1)</sup> Cardllina, J. H., 11; Moore, R. E.; Arnold, E. V.; Clardy, J. J. *Org. Chem.* **1979, 44,4039. (2)** Babler, J. H.; Invergo, B. J.; Sarussi, *S.* J. J. *Org. Chem.* **1980,45,** 

<sup>4241.&</sup>lt;br>
(3) Cardillo, G.; Orena, M.; Porzi, G.; Sandri, S. J. Org. Chem. 1981,<br>
46, 2439. Torii, S.; Inokuchi, T.; Yoritaka, K. *Ibid.* 1981, 46, 5030.<br>
Matsuo, K.; Kinuta, T.; Tanaka, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1981 29, 3047.<br>

**<sup>1980, 1223.</sup>** (b) Pougny, J.-R.; Rollin, P.; Sinay, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.*  **1982,23,4929.** (Separation of epimers was effected at a precursor stage.)